



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,590	10/24/2003	Sunil Kochhar	88265-6820	2216
28765	7590	01/03/2006	EXAMINER	
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				1638
DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,590	KOCHHAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cathy K. Worley	1638

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 12-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 5-11, 19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/03</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/Election

1. In response to the communication received on Oct. 26, 2005 from Robert M. Barrett, the election with traverse of group II, claims 5-11 and 19-20 as they relate to SEQ ID NOs. 1 and 3, is acknowledged. The applicant traverses the restriction on the grounds that there would not be a serious burden if the claims drawn to the polypeptides were rejoined with the claims to the polynucleotides. In addition the applicant argues that searching additional sequences would not be a serious burden. These arguments are not persuasive because the literature encompassing the synthesis or purification of polypeptides is different than the literature encompassing the polynucleotides. For instance, a fractionation of an extract could read on a polypeptide claim even without the amino acid sequence being disclosed in the reference. The search for additional sequences is also burdensome because each sequence search takes a large amount of computer time due to the size of the databases being searched. In addition, the output for each sequence search must be evaluated by the examiner, and evaluating the data from additional sequence searches is, indeed, a serious burden. Claims 1-4 and 12-18 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 5-11 and 19-20 will be examined as they relate to SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:3.

Specification

2. The use of the trademarks SPEEDVAC and BIORAD has been noted in this application. They should be written in all capital letters wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the wording is awkward. Applicant is advised that “isolated or synthesized nucleotide sequence encoding the polypeptide identified by SEQ ID NO:1” should be amended to recite - - isolated or synthesized polynucleotide comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 - - . Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim should specify the cell is a non-naturally occurring cell. Applicant is advised to insert the word - - transformed - - between “a” and “cell”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 5-11 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 5-11 and 19-20 are drawn to polynucleotides, vectors, transformed cells and plants, and methods of producing cocoa beans with increased cocoa flavor peptides.

The nature of the invention is the isolation of peptides from cocoa beans.

The specification discloses the isolation of peptides from cocoa powder (see pages 6-8, in particular). The specification discloses the N-terminal sequence of two peptides (see page 9, Table 2, in particular). The specification discloses that these small peptides are fragments of larger proteins derived from the 67 kDa vicillin protein (see page 9 lines 11-17, in particular). SEQ ID NO:1 is disclosed to be the amino acid sequence of a 47 kDa fragment of the vicillin protein (see page 9 lines 14-17, in particular). SEQ ID NO:3 is a subsequence of SEQ ID NO:1 and is disclosed to be the amino acid sequence of a smaller fragment of the vicillin protein

that occurs as a result of the fermentation process (see page 9, Table 2, in particular).

The specification does not disclose any taste tests performed on cocoa powder made from transgenic cocoa beans that have been engineered to express the peptides. Transgenic cocoa beans have not been produced that express SEQ ID NO:1 or fragments comprising SEQ ID NO:3. The prior art teaches that cocoa flavor is produced by the fermentation of cocoa beans followed by heat treatment in the presence of reducing sugars (see Roedel et al. (1988) Formation of cocoa flavor by the Maillard reaction. Charact., Prod. Appl. Food Flavours, pp. 301-309, see page 301, in particular). Roedel et al. teach that the flavor requires the presence of peptides in addition to free amino acids (see page 301 first paragraph, in particular). Roedel et al. teach that the source of protein to generate the peptides is important. For example, protein from yeast resulted in cocoa with a yeasty off-flavor, proteins from faba bean resulted in a beany off-flavor, and there was a bitterness when proteins from whey, egg, wheat, maize, or Vicia faba were used (see page 302, in particular). Roedel et al. teach that the use of gelatin to generate the required peptides yielded flavor that was closer to natural cocoa flavor (see page 308, figure 6, in particular). These data suggest that it would be highly unpredictable to utilize protein or peptides derived from any other source, other than a cocoa plant, to produce cocoa flavor.

Kochhar et al. teach that apart from the identification of certain hydrophobic amino acids, little is known about the nature and type of peptides generated from cocoa seed proteins that are important in the generation of cocoa flavor (Kochhar et al. (2001) J. Agric. Food Chem. Vol. 49, pp. 4470-4477, see page 4476, right column, in particular). Hansen et al. teach that high flavor cocoa beans (genotype PA7) have the highest endoprotease, aminopeptidase, and carboxypeptidase activities of all genotypes tested (Hansen et al. (2000) J. Science of Food and Agric. Vol. 80, pp. 1193-1198, see page 1197, right column, in particular). Hansen et al. also teach that for cocoa flavor reducing sugars, amino acids, and peptides are required, and the correct amount and ratio of these flavor precursors are essential for optimal production of flavor volatiles during the roasting process (see page 1193, left column, in particular). Therefore, although the data in the instant application demonstrate that the recited peptide is one of the peptides in the mixture often referred to as "flavor precursors", there are no data to show that increasing levels of this peptide, alone, would generate improved cocoa flavor. Because it is known that free amino acids are required as well as peptides, and Hansen et al. teach that the ratio of these compounds is important, then, in the absence of any further guidance one could not predict the effect that an increase in one peptide would have on the overall cocoa flavor. In the absence of any further guidance, it would require undue experimentation on the part of one of skill in the art to determine if other peptides need to be added, and if extra free amino acids need to be added, to generate an

appropriate ratio of ingredients to optimize cocoa flavor. Therefore the specification has not enabled one of skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed.

6. Claims 5-11 and 19-20 are free from the prior art, because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:3.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy K. Worley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8784. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CKW
Dec. 21, 2005



ASHWIN D. MEHTA, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER